SHSCT Family Support Hubs
Report Card
Annual Report Card 2016/17

CYPSP
Children & Young People's Strategic Partnership

July 2017
How much did we do?

Performance Measure 1: No of Families, Children & Parents Referred through Family Support Hubs 2016/17

Throughout 2016/17 there were 686 families referred through family support hubs in the SHSCT area. This is an increase of 103 from last year.

- Number of Families Referred:
  - 2015/16: 583
  - 2016/17: 686

- Number of Children Referred:
  - 2015/16: 819
  - 2016/17: 873

- Number of Parents Referred:
  - 2015/16: 701
  - 2016/17: 712
How much did we do?

Performance Measure 2: Children Referred by Age Profile - 2016/17

The 5-10 age range is consistently the highest age of referrals and has stayed the same at 38% in 2016/17 with the 0-4 and 11-15 age range similar to 2015/16.
Performance Measure 3: Children with a Disability Referred - 2016/17

In 2016/17, Children with a Learning disability had the highest number of referrals throughout SHSCT area.

Children Referred with a Disability 2016/17

- Physical: 23
- Learning: 31
- Sensory: 17

Children with a Disability = 71 (8%) of the Total Children Referred = 873 has a Disability.
Performance Measure 4: Household Composition - 2016/17

There has been an increase in the last year in the number of families with both parents from **257 to 282** and also the number of one parent families has increased from **278 to 363**.

The number of kinship carers and guardians has decreased in 2016/17.
The key reasons for referral have stayed the same as last year with emotional behavioural difficulty (EBD) for primary and post primary school age children the top main reasons at 187 and 134 respectively. Parenting programmes/parenting support was the next key reason for referring at 112.
How well did we do it?

Performance Measure 6: Families Referred that were Accepted & Signposted, Above Tier 2 or Other Reasons for Outcome of Referral - 2016/17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Families Referred</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accepted and Signposted</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signposted but family did not engage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above Tier 2 (Inappropriate Referral)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further Information requested</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to meet needs of Referred Family</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance Measure 7: Outcome 4 weeks & 5-8 weeks achieved / Not Achieved – 2016/17

95% of referrals were achieved within 4 weeks or 5-8 weeks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achieved</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Achieved</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
School referrals are still the highest referring agency but has dropped from 24% in 2015/16 to 18% in 2016/17. Gateway referrals are similar in the past two years with 16% and 15% respectively. Health visiting and Paediatrician referrals have both stayed the same over the past two years.
Performance Measure 9: Number of Parents / Children referred who did and who did not take up the service offer 2016/17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>took up the service offer</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>did not take up the service offer</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How well did we do it cont’d……?
Performance Measure 10: 10 Standards Fully Implemented - 2016/17

**Standard 1.** Working in PARTNERSHIP is an integral part of Family Support. Partnership includes children, families, professionals and communities

**Standard 2.** Family Support Interventions are NEEDS LED (and provide the minimum intervention required)

**Standard 3.** Family Support requires a clear focus on the WISHES, FEELINGS, SAFETY AND WELL-BEING OF CHILDREN

**Standard 4.** Family Support services reflect a STRENGTHS BASED perspective, which is mindful of resilience as a characteristic of many children and families lives

**Standard 5.** Family Support is ACCESSIBLE AND FLEXIBLE in respect of location, timing, setting and changing needs, and can incorporate both child protection and out of home care

**Standard 6.** Family Support promotes the view that effective interventions are those that STRENGTHEN INFORMAL SUPPORT NETWORKS

**Standard 7.** Families are encouraged to self-refer and MULTI-AGENCY REFERRAL PATHS are facilitated

**Standard 8.** INVOLVEMENT OF SERVICE USERS AND PROVIDERS IN THE PLANNING, DELIVERY AND EVALUATION of family support services in practised on an on-going basis

**Standard 9.** Services aim to PROMOTE SOCIAL INCLUSION and address issues around ethnicity, disability and urban/rural communities

**Standard 10.** MEASURES OF SUCCESS are built into services to demonstrate that interventions result in improved outcomes for service users, and facilitate quality assurance and best practice

All 3 Hubs in the Southern Health and Social Care Trust have implemented the 10 Standards and continue to work collaboratively across the area in developing their relationships with providers across the community, voluntary and statutory sectors.
Is anyone better off?

Family Samples

Family A:
Dad stated that he found the process of self referring to the Family Hub worked really well for them. He appreciated that the Hub Co-ordinator was there to talk things over with and guide him to other services.

Family B:
Both parents have serious illnesses. Family were struggling to manage their household and day to day living. Parents and child were offered support through EISS, Carers Matter and Young Carers. Family were relieved that someone could offer them support and advice. They had no support from family or friends and felt they had nowhere else to turn to for support. Family very grateful for support provided.

Family C:
Support for mother who was placed in emergency accommodation with her 3 children after a family placement breakdown. It was several miles away from children’s school and she was struggling to find a means of transport. Mother also struggled with challenging behaviour within the household. She was unaware of what support services were available and how to access these and was very stressed with family situation. Hub co-ordinator accessed EISS and Education Authority and mother was very grateful for support provided by Hub.